UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,913	06/29/2005	Albrecht Schneider	P08127US00/MP	8702
881 STITES & HAF	7590 03/23/201 ¹ RBISON PLLC	EXAMINER		
1199 NORTH F	FAIRFAX STREET	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/540,9	3	SCHNEIDER, ALBRECHT				
		Examine		Art Unit				
			es-Sánchez	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE SER 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 December 2	009					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	,—	-		osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/ا	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14,16-19,22,24-29</u> is/are p	ending in the ar	polication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) <u>22 and 24-29</u> is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,11-14,16,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	∑ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 18</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer						
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
			-		FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
· .	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	·8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/09. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/540,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/16/09.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-14, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pancook (3,628,231) in view of Wei (5,528,963).

Pancook discloses the claimed invention including a die plunger 81, a guide bushing 47 having a bore, a punch die 83 having an annular groove 86, holding elements/balls 55, transverse bores (see Fig. 3, where the element 88 is located) and a flange (see Fig. 3, the flange in contact with the plunger 81). Pancook teaches an element 88 instead of a washer. However, Wei teaches the use of a washer 56 for the purpose of locking the rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Pancook by providing the washer, since the Examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of the washer and element for their use in the locking art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to retain the locking element would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Also, Wein teaches transverse bores are tapered (see Fig. 5A). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Pancook by providing the transverse bores are tapered as taught by Wein

in order to obtain a device that retains the ball bearing within the bores. Regarding, the elastic washer made of steel is inherently disclosed, since the washer of steel is old and well known in the art. Also, the rear end face of the punching die rests against the bottom of the bore in the die plunger (see Fig. 3). Also, both the washer 56 and element 88 provide radially biasing force by forcing the locking element to a locked position biasing the bearing in contact with the groove of the tool.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 22 and 24-29 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 9-10 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the plunger is movable in and out of guide bushing) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,913 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 3724
3/16/2010
/Boyer D. Ashley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724